P.R. No. 332/06 N.P. Kesle
Presented by Shri
dated 06/2/06

(3)

Ch.16

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR Single Bench

CIVIL REVISION NO. 19 OF 2006

CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 115 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

APPLICANT/ DEFENDANT : K.Shahabuddin Ansari, S/o. Shri K.B.Ansari, aged about 39 years, resident of Main Road, Talapara, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS/ PLAINTIFF :1. Kirankant Robinson, S/o. late Shri P.C. Robinson, aged about 58 years, R/o. in front of Kali Mandir Tifra, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

DEFENDANTS :2. Amolak Singh Bhatia, S/o. Late Shri Harbansh Singh Bhatia, aged about 54 years, R/o. Dayalband, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
D. Rotak 6/2/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

:3. State of Chhattisgarh Through Collector, Bilaspur (C.G.)

CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 115 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

26

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक C.R. 19 सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	08/02/2006 Shri N.P. Kela, Counsel for the applicant. Heard on admission and on M.C.P.No. 195/2006. It is apparent that the dispute raised by the applicant had already been decided at earlier stage on 11/07/2005 at the instance of respondent No.2, therefore, no such dispute can be raised in subsequent stage of the same proceedings. Apart from that the applicant raised the dispute regarding pecuniary jurisdiction of the Court. In case, it is held that the suit was triable by another court having pecuniary jurisdiction, that order will not disposal of the suit finally. Therefore, the revision is liable to be dismissed summarily and is accordingly dismissed. In the light of above order, M.C.P.No.195/2006 stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **V.K. Shrivastava** **Judge**	

Raju

[पीछे देखिये